

# Maximal Abelian Torsion Subgroups of $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$

Kingshook Biswas<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** *In the study of the local dynamics of a germ of diffeomorphism fixing the origin in  $\mathbf{C}$ , an important problem is to determine the centralizer of the germ in the group  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  of germs of diffeomorphisms fixing the origin. When the germ is not of finite order, then the centralizer is abelian, and hence a maximal abelian subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ . Conversely any maximal abelian subgroup which contains an element of infinite order is equal to the centralizer of that element. A natural question is whether every maximal abelian subgroup contains an element of infinite order, or whether there exist maximal abelian torsion subgroups; we show that such subgroups do indeed exist, and moreover that any infinite subgroup of the rationals modulo the integers  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$  can be embedded into  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  as such a subgroup.*

*AMS Subject Classification: 37F99*

## 1. Introduction.

We consider the group of germs of diffeomorphisms in  $\mathbf{C}$  fixing the origin,  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0) = \{f : f(z) = \lambda z + O(z^2), \lambda \neq 0\}$ . The local dynamics of such germs  $f$  near the fixed point 0 has been intensively studied, in particular the question, when is  $f$  *linearizable*, i.e. conjugate to its linear part  $L_\lambda(z) = \lambda z$ . If the fixed point is *attracting* ( $|\lambda| < 1$ ) or *repelling* ( $|\lambda| > 1$ ) then a classical theorem of Koenigs asserts that  $f$  is linearizable. When the fixed point is *indifferent* ( $|\lambda| = 1, \lambda = e^{2\pi i\alpha}, \alpha \in (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$ ), the linearizability of  $f$  depends very sensitively on the arithmetic of the *rotation number*  $\alpha$ . Any *nondegenerate parabolic* germ ( $\alpha = p/q \in \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}, f^q \neq id$ ) is nonlinearizable whereas a *degenerate parabolic* germ ( $\alpha = p/q \in \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}, f^q = id$ ) is always linearizable. When  $\alpha$  is irrational, for  $\alpha$  poorly approximable by rationals all germs  $f$  are linearizable whereas for  $\alpha$  very well approximable by rationals there exist nonlinearizable germs. The sharp arithmetic condition is called the Brjuno condition ([Br]); its optimality was shown by Yoccoz ([Yo]) (see for example [PM1] for a survey of the linearization problem).

The centralizer  $\text{Cent}(f) = \{g : g \circ f = f \circ g\}$  of a germ  $f$  in  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  can be thought of as the group of symmetries of the dynamics (it's elements conjugate the dynamics to itself). The centralizer clearly contains any abelian subgroup containing  $f$ ; when  $f$  is not of finite order, it is well known that  $\text{Cent}(f)$  is abelian (we recall the description of such centralizers in the following section), and is hence a maximal abelian subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University, Belur Math, WB-711202, India. E-mail: kingshook@rkmvu.ac.in

Moreover when  $f'(0) = \lambda$  is not a root of unity then the group homomorphism given by the "rotation number map"

$$\begin{aligned}\rho : \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0) &\rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} \\ g &\mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log g'(0)\end{aligned}$$

is injective restricted to  $\text{Cent}(f)$ , identifying the centralizer with a subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}$ . The restriction to  $\text{Cent}(f)$  is surjective if and only if  $f$  is linearizable, whereas if  $f$  is nonlinearizable, then  $\rho(\text{Cent}(f)) \subset \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ . Understanding the arithmetic of subgroups of  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$  which occur as groups of rotation numbers  $\rho(\text{Cent}(f))$  in the nonlinearizable case can thus be seen as a generalization of the linearization problem. This seems to be a very difficult problem for which few results are known: Moser [Mo] has shown that the irrationals occurring in such subgroups must admit good simultaneous rational approximations, while Perez-Marco [PM2] has constructed examples where the subgroups are uncountable, containing Cantor sets.

Any maximal abelian subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  containing an infinite order element  $f$  is equal to  $\text{Cent}(f)$ . In order to classify all maximal abelian subgroups of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ , it is natural to ask therefore whether there exist maximal abelian torsion subgroups (otherwise only centralizers can occur). We show that this is indeed the case, and moreover any infinite subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$  can occur as the corresponding group of rotation numbers:

**Theorem 1.** *For any infinite subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ , there exists a maximal abelian torsion subgroup  $\hat{H}$  of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  such that  $\rho$  restricted to  $\hat{H}$  is injective, and  $\rho(\hat{H}) = H$ .*

Thus the rotation numbers of maximal abelian torsion subgroups can be arbitrary and are not subject to any arithmetic condition.

## 2. Centralizers in $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ .

We recall some well known facts about centralizers of elements of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{C}[[z]]$  the ring of formal power series in  $z$  and by  $\text{Diff}_{\text{For}}(\mathbf{C}, 0) := \{ f \in \mathbf{C}[[z]] : f(0) = 0, f'(0) \neq 0 \}$  the group of formal diffeomorphisms of germs fixing 0. We identify  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  with the subgroup of elements of  $\text{Diff}_{\text{For}}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  whose series converge. For  $f \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  we denote its centralizer in  $\text{Diff}_{\text{For}}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  by  $\text{Cent}_{\text{For}}(f)$ ; the analytic centralizer  $\text{Cent}(f)$  is then identified with the subgroup of elements of the formal centralizer  $\text{Cent}_{\text{For}}(f)$  whose series converge.

**Proposition 2.** *For any  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*$  which is not a root of unity,  $\text{Cent}(L_\lambda) = \text{Cent}_{\text{For}}(L_\lambda) = \{ L_\mu \}_{\mu \in \mathbf{C}^*}$ .*

**Proof:** Comparing coefficients of both sides of  $g \circ L_\lambda = L_\lambda \circ g$  gives  $\lambda^n g_n = \lambda g_n, n \geq 1$  (where  $g(z) = \sum_n g_n z^n$ ), so  $g_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\diamond$

We recall that when  $\lambda$  is not a root of unity, any  $f(z) = \lambda z + f_2 z^2 + \dots \in \text{Diff}_{\text{For}}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  is formally linearizable; there exists a unique formal germ  $h_f(z) = z + h_2 z^2 + \dots \in \text{Diff}_{\text{For}}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$

conjugating  $f$  to  $L_\lambda$ , and  $f$  is linearizable if and only if the formal series  $h_f$  is convergent. Indeed comparing coefficients of both sides of the conjugacy equation  $h_f \circ f = L_\lambda \circ h_f$  determines a recursive solution of the form  $h_n = \frac{P_n(\lambda, f_2, \dots, f_n, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1})}{(\lambda^n - \lambda)}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , where the  $P_n$ 's are universal polynomials.

Observing that any germ (formal or analytic) conjugating two germs also conjugates their centralizers, it follows from the previous proposition that

**Proposition 3.** *For any  $f(z) = \lambda z + O(z^2) \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  with  $\lambda$  not a root of unity,  $\text{Cent}_{\text{For}}(f) = \{h_f \circ L_\mu \circ h_f^{-1} : \mu \in \mathbf{C}^*\}$ . If*

- (i)  *$f$  is linearizable then  $\text{Cent}(f) = \text{Cent}_{\text{For}}(f) = \{h_f \circ L_\mu \circ h_f^{-1} : \mu \in \mathbf{C}^*\}$  and  $\rho : \text{Cent}(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii)  *$f$  is nonlinearizable then  $\text{Cent}(f) = \{h_f \circ L_\mu \circ h_f^{-1} : \mu \in \mathbf{C}^* \text{ such that } h_f \circ L_\mu \circ h_f^{-1} \text{ converges}\}$ , all germs in  $\text{Cent}(f)$  are indifferent and  $\rho : \text{Cent}(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$  is an injective homomorphism.*

In either case above we see that the centralizer is abelian (and hence a maximal abelian subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ ). In the case of a nondegenerate parabolic germ  $f$ , while  $f$  is not (even formally) linearizable, it can always be formally embedded into the flow of a holomorphic vector field with a zero at the origin. Indeed for  $n \geq 1, \tau \in \mathbf{C}$ , the vector field  $X_{n,\tau} = \frac{z^{n+1}}{1+\tau z^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  is a normal form for any holomorphic vector field with a zero of order  $(n+1)$  at the origin, and if  $\rho(f) = p/q$ ,  $f^q(z) = z + az^{n+1} + \dots$  with  $a \neq 0$ , then for some  $\tau = \tau(f)$  there exists a formal germ  $\phi$  such that  $f = \phi \circ e^{2\pi i p/q} \exp(X_{n,\tau}) \circ \phi^{-1}$ . The formal and analytic centralizers of  $\exp(X_{n,\tau})$  are equal, both given by the abelian group of germs  $\{e^{2\pi i k/n} \exp(tX_{n,\tau}) : k \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, t \in \mathbf{C}\} \cong \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{C}$  (note the rotations around the origin of finite order  $n$  commute with the flow of  $X_{n,\tau}$ ). For these classical results we refer the reader to the articles of Baker ([Ba]), Ecalle ([Ec]) and Voronin ([Vo]). They allow us to describe the centralizer of nondegenerate parabolic germs as follows:

**Proposition 4.** *For any nondegenerate parabolic germ  $f$  such that  $\rho(f) = p/q$ ,  $f^q(z) = z + az^{n+1} + \dots$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , for some  $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\text{Cent}(f)$  is given by the subgroup of elements of  $\{\phi \circ e^{2\pi i k/n} \exp(tX_{n,\tau}) \circ \phi^{-1} : k \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}, t \in \mathbf{C}\}$  which converge (where  $\phi \in \text{Diff}_{\text{For}}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  formally conjugates  $f$  to  $e^{2\pi i p/q} \exp(X_{n,\tau})$ ).*

Thus the centralizer is again abelian (isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{C}$ ), hence maximal abelian, all elements of the centralizer are parabolic, and  $\rho(\text{Cent}(f)) \subseteq (\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z})/\mathbf{Z}$  is finite.

### 3. Maximal Abelian Torsion Subgroups of $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ .

For  $r > 0$  we denote by  $\mathbf{D}_r$  the disc of radius  $r$  centered around the origin. For any simply connected domain  $D$  containing 0 and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ , we denote by  $R_{D,\alpha}$  the intrinsic rotation of  $D$  around 0 by angle  $2\pi\alpha$ , i.e. the unique automorphism  $R : D \rightarrow D$  such that  $R(0) = 0, R'(0) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ , which can be described as the conjugate of the rigid rotation  $R_\alpha(z) = e^{2\pi i \alpha} z$  by any Riemann mapping  $h : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow D$  such that  $h(0) = 0$ . The intrinsic

rotations of a given domain form a group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ , and any conformal mapping between two simply connected domains which fixes the origin conjugates their intrinsic rotations. The construction of maximal abelian torsion subgroups rests on the following

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $r, M, \delta > 0$  be real and  $q, a \geq 2$  integers. Given  $f \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  such that  $\rho(f) = 1/q, f^q = \text{id}$ , there exists  $\phi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ ,  $\phi = \phi(r, M, \delta, a, f)$  such that  $\rho(\phi) = 1/(aq), f = \phi^a$ , and for any  $g \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$ , if  $g, g^{-1}$  are univalent on  $\mathbf{D}_r$ ,  $|g'(0)|, |(g^{-1})'(0)| \leq M$ , and  $g$  commutes with  $\phi$ , then  $\rho(g) \in \left(\frac{1}{q}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{D}_\delta\right)/\mathbf{Z}$  (where  $\frac{1}{q}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{D}_\delta$  denotes numbers of the form  $k/q + \tau, k \in \mathbf{Z}, |\tau| < \delta$ ).*

**Proof:** The idea is roughly, given  $f$ , to find an  $\phi$  satisfying  $f = \phi^a$  and having singularities very close to the origin which are almost symmetrically distributed with respect to the rotation  $R_{\frac{1}{q}}$ , so that any germ commuting with  $\phi$  must preserve the singularities and hence have rotation number close to a multiple of  $1/q$ . We achieve this as follows:

Fix a linearization  $h(z) = z + O(z^2)$  of  $f$ , so  $f = h^{-1} \circ R_{1/q} \circ h$ . Let  $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 > 0$  be such that  $h^{-1}$  is univalent on  $\mathbf{D}_{\epsilon_0}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{\epsilon_1} \subset U = h^{-1}(\mathbf{D}_{\epsilon_0}) \subset \mathbf{D}_r$ . For  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$  let  $D(\epsilon, q)$  be the slit domain  $D(\epsilon, q) := \mathbf{D}_{\epsilon_0} - \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}} \{t e^{2\pi i j/q} : \epsilon \leq t < \epsilon_0\}$ . Note  $R_{1/q}(D(\epsilon, q)) = D(\epsilon, q)$ , so  $R_{D(\epsilon, q), 1/q} = R_{1/q} = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$ ; thus if we set  $\phi := h^{-1} \circ R_{D(\epsilon, q), 1/(aq)} \circ h$  then  $f = \phi^a$ . We check that for  $\epsilon$  small enough, depending only on  $r, \delta, a$  and  $h$ , the germ  $\phi$  satisfies the conclusion asserted by the Proposition:

The domain  $V_\epsilon := h^{-1}(D(\epsilon, q))$  is a slit domain equal to  $U$  minus a finite union of slits  $(\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}}$ , which is invariant under  $\phi$ . Let  $z_j = h^{-1}(\epsilon e^{2\pi i j/q})$  be the endpoints of the slits  $\gamma_j$ . Then any  $z^* \in \gamma_j$  distinct from  $z_j$  is biaccessible from  $V_\epsilon$ , and there are two paths  $\beta, \beta'$  in  $V_\epsilon$  landing at  $z^*$  such that any conformal representation from  $V_\epsilon$  to  $\mathbf{D}$  tends to distinct points of  $\partial\mathbf{D}$  as  $z$  tends to  $z^*$  along  $\beta, \beta'$ . In the plane of  $w = h(z)$ , the corresponding point  $w^* = h(z^*)$  is biaccessible from  $D(\epsilon, q)$ , and any intrinsic rotation  $R_{D(\epsilon, q), \alpha}$  with  $\alpha \notin \frac{1}{q}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$  tends to distinct limits in  $\partial D(\epsilon, q)$  as  $w = h(z)$  tends to  $w^* = h(z^*)$  along  $h(\beta), h(\beta')$ . So the conjugate germ  $\phi$  will tend to distinct limits contained in  $\partial V_\epsilon \subset \mathbf{D}_r$  as  $z$  tends to  $z^*$  along  $\beta, \beta'$ .

Now let  $g(z) = \mu z + O(z^2)$  be a germ such that  $g, g^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbf{D}_r$  and  $|g'(0)|, |(g^{-1})'(0)| \leq M$ . By classical results on univalent functions, the family  $\mathcal{F}_{M, r}$  of such functions is a normal family, so for  $\epsilon$  small enough depending only on  $r, M$ ,  $g(\mathbf{D}_{2\epsilon}) \subset \mathbf{D}_{\epsilon_1} \subset U$ . Suppose  $g$  commutes with  $\phi$ . Then taking  $z^* \in \gamma_j \cap \mathbf{D}_{2\epsilon}, z^* \neq z_j$ , and letting  $z$  tend to  $z^*$  along  $\beta, \beta'$  in the equation  $\phi(g(z)) = g(\phi(z))$ , since the RHS tends to two distinct limits, we must have  $g(z^*) \in \gamma_{j'}$  for some  $j'$  (otherwise the LHS would tend to a unique limit). It follows that  $g(\mathbf{D}_{2\epsilon} \cap (\bigcup_j \gamma_j)) \subseteq g(\mathbf{D}_{2\epsilon}) \cap (\bigcup_j \gamma_j)$ , applying the same argument to  $g^{-1}$  gives the reverse inclusion, so  $g(\mathbf{D}_{2\epsilon} \cap (\bigcup_j \gamma_j)) = g(\mathbf{D}_{2\epsilon}) \cap (\bigcup_j \gamma_j)$ . In particular, for any  $j$ ,  $g(z_j) = z_{j'}$  for some  $j'$ . So

$$\frac{g(z_j)}{z_j} = \frac{z_{j'}}{z_j} = \frac{h(\epsilon e^{2\pi i j'/q})}{h(\epsilon e^{2\pi i j/q})}$$

Since  $g$  belongs to the normal family  $\mathcal{F}_{M, r}$ , we have a uniform estimate  $|g(z_j)/z_j - \mu| \leq C|z_j|$  where the constant  $C$  only depends on  $r, M$  and not on  $g$ . It is clear that  $|z_j| = O(\epsilon)$

and that the RHS is equal to  $e^{2\pi i(j'-j)/q} + O(\epsilon)$  with the constants in the  $O(\epsilon)$  terms only depending on  $h$ ; it follows that

$$\mu = e^{2\pi i(j'-j)/q} + O(\epsilon)$$

with the constant in the error term  $O(\epsilon)$  only depending on  $r, M$  and  $h$ , so for  $\epsilon$  small enough, depending only on these parameters and  $\delta$  but not on  $g$ , we will have

$$\rho(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \mu \in \left( \frac{(j' - j)}{q} + \mathbf{D}_\delta \right) / \mathbf{Z} \quad \diamond.$$

We need the following simple description of subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ :

**Proposition 6.** (i) Any finite nonzero subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$  is cyclic,  $H = \langle 1/q \rangle$  for some  $q \geq 2$ .

(ii) Any infinite subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$  is an increasing union of cyclic subgroups,  $H = \cup_n \langle 1/q_n \rangle$  for some sequence  $(q_n)$  such that  $q_n | q_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ .

**Proof:** (i) Let  $x_0 = p/q \in H$ ,  $(p, q \text{ coprime}, q \geq 2)$  be such that  $d(x_0, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{Min}_{x \in H, x \neq 0} d(x, \mathbf{Z})$ . Then since  $\langle p/q \rangle = \langle 1/q \rangle$  in  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ , we must have  $x_0 = \pm 1/q$ . For any  $x = p'/q' \in H$  ( $p', q'$  coprime,  $q' \geq 2$ ),  $\langle 1/q' \rangle = \langle p'/q' \rangle \subseteq H$  and we can write  $1/q' = a/q + r$  for some integer  $a$  and some  $0 \leq r < 1/q$ . Then  $r = 1/q' - a/q \in H$  and  $d(r, \mathbf{Z}) = r < 1/q = d(x_0, \mathbf{Z})$  so  $r = 0$ , hence  $1/q' = a/q$  and  $x \in \langle x_0 \rangle$ . Thus  $H = \langle 1/q \rangle$ .

(ii) Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be an enumeration of  $H$ . Then  $H$  is the increasing union of the finite subgroups  $H_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ , each of which is of the form  $H_n = \langle 1/q_n \rangle$  by (i), and  $q_n = O(H_n)$  divides  $q_{n+1} = O(H_{n+1})$  since  $H_n$  is a subgroup of  $H_{n+1}$ .  $\diamond$

We can now construct maximal abelian torsion subgroups as follows:

**Proof of Theorem 1:** Given an infinite subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ , write it in the form  $H = \cup_{n \geq 1} \langle 1/q_n \rangle$  where  $q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n$  for some integers  $a_n \geq 2$  (we may assume the cyclic groups are strictly increasing).

Fix a monotone decreasing sequence  $(r_n)$  converging to 0, and an increasing sequence  $M_n$  converging to  $+\infty$ . Let  $\delta_n = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}$ . It is easy to check that for this choice of  $\delta_n$ ,

$$\bigcap_{n \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{q_n} \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{D}_{\delta_n} \right) / \mathbf{Z} = \left( \frac{1}{q_N} \mathbf{Z} \right) / \mathbf{Z}$$

for any  $N \geq 1$ .

Let  $f_1 = R_{1/q_1}$  and for  $n \geq 1$  define  $f_{n+1}$  inductively by  $f_{n+1} = \phi(r_n, M_n, \delta_n, a_{n+1}, f_n)$  (where  $\phi$  is the germ given by Proposition 5). Then  $\rho(f_n) = 1/q_n$ ,  $f_n = f_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$ , so the increasing union of cyclic subgroups  $\hat{H} := \cup_{n \geq 1} \langle f_n \rangle$  is an abelian torsion subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  such that  $\rho(\hat{H}) = H$ . We check that  $\hat{H}$  is maximal abelian:

Let  $g$  be a germ commuting with  $f_n$  for all  $n$ . Let  $N = N(g) \geq 1$  be such that  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are univalent on  $\mathbf{D}_{r_n}$  and  $|g'(0)|, |(g^{-1})'(0)| \leq M_n$  for all  $n \geq N$ . By the choice of the  $f_n$ 's and Proposition 5, it follows that

$$\rho(g) \in \bigcap_{n \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{q_n} \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{D}_{\delta_n} \right) / \mathbf{Z} = \left( \frac{1}{q_N} \mathbf{Z} \right) / \mathbf{Z}$$

Thus  $g$  is parabolic. Since  $\rho(\text{Cent}(g)) \supseteq \rho(\hat{H}) = H$  is infinite,  $g$  must be a degenerate parabolic,  $g^{q_N} = \text{id}$ . If  $\rho(g) = k/q_N$ , then the germ  $g \circ f_{q_N}^{-k}$  is tangent to the identity, of finite order (since  $g, f_{q_N}$  commute and are of finite order), and hence must be the identity. So  $g = f_{q_N}^k \in \hat{H}$ .  $\diamond$

To summarize, we can classify maximal abelian subgroups of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  according to their groups of rotation numbers as follows:

**Theorem 7.** *Any maximal abelian subgroup  $\hat{H}$  of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{C}, 0)$  must be of one of the following kinds (and all kinds occur): either*

(i)  $\rho(\hat{H})$  is a finite subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$  in which case  $\hat{H}$  contains a nondegenerate parabolic germ  $f$ , is equal to  $\text{Cent}(f)$ , and is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{C}$ ,

or

(ii)  $\rho(\hat{H})$  is an infinite subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$  in which case all elements of  $\hat{H}$  are degenerate parabolic germs, and  $\hat{H}$  is isomorphic to the subgroup  $\rho(\hat{H})$  of  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ ,

or

(iii)  $\rho(\hat{H}) \cap (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Q})/\mathbf{Z} \neq \emptyset$ , in which case  $\hat{H}$  contains an irrationally indifferent germ  $f$ , is equal to  $\text{Cent}(f)$  and isomorphic to either  $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}$  or to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ .

## References

- [Ba] I.N.BAKER, *Fractional iteration near a fixed point of multiplier 1*, J. Austral. Math. Soc **4**, 1964, p. 143-148.
- [Ec] J.ECALLE, *Théorie itérative: introduction à la théorie des invariants holomorphes*, J. Math pures et appliqués **54**, 1975, p. 183-258.
- [Mo] J.MOSER, *On commuting circle mappings and simultaneous Diophantine approximations*, Math. Zeitschrift **205**, 1990, p. 105-121.
- [PM1] R.PEREZ-MARCO, *Solution complète au problème de Siegel de linéarisation d'une application holomorphe au voisinage d'un point fixe (d'après J.C.Yoccoz)*, Seminar Bourbaki **753**, 1991.
- [PM2] R.PEREZ-MARCO, *Uncountable number of symmetries for non-linearisable holomorphic dynamics*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **119**, **1**, p.67-127,1995; (C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **313**, 1991, p. 461-464).
- [Vo] S.M.VORONIN, *Analytic classification of germs of conformal mappings  $(\mathbf{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}, 0)$  with identity linear part*, Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen, **16**, 1982, p. 1-17.
- [Yo] J.C.YOCCOZ, *Petits diviseurs en dimension 1*, S.M.F., Asterisque **231** (1995)

Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University, Belur Math, WB-711202, India.  
E-mail: [kingshook@rkmvu.ac.in](mailto:kingshook@rkmvu.ac.in)